更多期刊、圖書與影音講座,請至【元照網路書店】www.angle.com.t

JOURNAL OF FOOD AND DRUG ANALYSIS 26 (2018) 193–200

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

**ScienceDirect** 

journal homepage: www.jfda-online.com



### Original Article

# Composition of phenolic compounds and antioxidant attributes of Cyclea gracillima Diels extracts



### Jau-Tien Lin<sup>a</sup>, Shih-Chuan Liu<sup>b</sup>, Li-Chun Kuo<sup>b</sup>, Deng-Jye Yang<sup>c,\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Department of Medical Applied Chemistry, Chung Shan Medical University, and Department of Medical Education, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, 110, Section 1, Jianguo North Road, Taichung 40201, Taiwan
<sup>b</sup> Department of Health Diet and Industry Management, Chung Shan Medical University, and Department of Nutrition, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, 110, Section 1, Jianguo North Road, Taichung 40201, Taiwan
<sup>c</sup> Department of Nutrition, China Medical University, 91, Hsueh-Shih Road, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 December 2016 Received in revised form 6 March 2017 Accepted 13 March 2017 Available online 22 April 2017

Keywords: antioxidant Cyclea gracillima Diels flavonoid phenol phenolic acid

### ABSTRACT

Cyclea gracillima Diels is a Taiwanese native medicinal herb. However, there are currently few relevant reports on its biochemical activity. In this study, the antioxidant attributes of the ethanol and hot water extracts of this herb were assayed using in vitro models, including the following: 2,2-diphenyl-1-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl)-hydrazyl radical scavenging, Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity, reducing power, and chelating ferrous ions. The following biochemical models were also assayed: inhibition of human low density lipoprotein oxidation, inhibition of human erythrocyte hemolysis, and scavenging oxygen radicals in human blood. The composition and content of flavonoids and phenolic acids in these extracts were also analyzed. The results showed that these extracts with high polyphenol levels presented remarkable antioxidant effects in all assays, especially when extracted with ethanol. Six phenolic acids (mainly ferulic acid, sinapic acid, and syringic acid) and 12 flavonoids (mainly narigenin, myricetin, naringin, and apigenin) were found in these extracts.

Copyright © 2017, Food and Drug Administration, Taiwan. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

### 1. Introduction

Cyclea gracillima Diels, which belongs to the family Menispermaceae, is a Taiwanese native medicinal herb that usually inhabits the margins of thickets at the low altitudes of central and southern Taiwan [1]. Many menispermaceous plants are used in folk medicine for the treatment of cough, fever, lumbago, headache, edema, wind-dampness, diabetes, asthma, and snakebite [2,3].

Recent reports have indicated that Cyclea peltata Hook. F. & Thomson (Menispermaceae) grown in India contains flavonoids, tannins, alkaloids, diterpenes, and saponins that have multiple properties, including antioxidant, antidiabetic, gastric antisecretory, antiulcer, anticancer, diuretic, and hepatoprotective activities [4–10]. Although C. gracillima Diels

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2017.03.004

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Department of Nutrition, China Medical University, 91, Hsueh-Shih Road, Taichung 40402, Taiwan. E-mail address: djyang1@gmail.com (D.-J. Yang).

<sup>1021-9498/</sup>Copyright © 2017, Food and Drug Administration, Taiwan. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

is used to treat inflammation, edema, and throes in Taiwan [1], there have been almost no related investigations regarding its biochemical properties.

In this work, we first determined the total phenol, flavonoid, and condensed tannin content, as well as the composition and content of flavonoids and phenolic acids in the hot water and ethanol (EtOH) extracts of *C. gracillima* Diels by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The antioxidant attributes of these extracts were also evaluated by in vitro models including 2,2-diphenyl-1-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl)hydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging capacity, Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC), ferric ion (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) reducing power, and ferrous ion (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) chelating capacity, as well as biochemical models, including inhibition of cupric ion (Cu<sup>2+</sup>)induced human low density lipoprotein (LDL) oxidation, inhibition of peroxyl radical-induced human erythrocyte hemolysis, and scavenging of oxygen radicals in human blood.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Samples

*C. gracillima* Diels were gathered from the low altitude forest zone of central Taiwan, and then lyophilized using a freezedrying system (Vastech Scientific Co., Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan) before use.

### 2.2. Chemicals

Acetonitrile (ACN), EtOH (95%), methanol (MeOH), acetic acid (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH), and Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). An Ultrapure water purification system (Lotun Co., Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan) was used to prepare distilled deionized water (dd H<sub>2</sub>O). Phenolic acid standards: p-anisic acid, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, gallic acid, gentisic acid, p-hydroxybezoic acid, rosmarinic acid, sinapic acid, syringic acid, and vanillic acid; flavonoid standards: apigenin, catechin, daidzein, diosmin, epicatechin, eriodictyol, genistein, glycitein, hesperidin, hesperetin, isorhamnetin, kaempferol, luteolin, naringin, narigenin, myricetin, quercitrin, rutin, neohesperidin, morin, and quercetin; aluminum chloride; ascorbic acid; 2-2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenz-thiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS); ferrozine; EDTA; luminol; heparin; 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox); vanillin; DPPH; horseradish peroxidase; trichloroacetic acid (TCA); aluminum chloride (AlCl<sub>3</sub>); ferrous chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>); potassium ferricyanide (K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>); ferrous chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O); copper sulfate (CuSO<sub>4</sub>) and sodium dihydrogen phosphate were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium carbonate, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), sodium nitrite (NaNO<sub>2</sub>), disodium hydrogen phosphate, and hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  were obtained from Wako Co. (Osaka, Japan).

# 2.3. Preparation of hot water and ethanolic extracts of C. gracillima Diels

Twenty grams of C. gracillima Diels was extracted with 500 mL of EtOH for 24 hours. After filtrating using Whatman No. 1

filter paper, the extract was concentrated to dryness by a rotary evaporator (Panchun Scientific Co., Kaohsiung, Taiwan). For hot water extraction, 20 g C. *gracillima* Diels was refluxed with distilled water (500 mL) at  $98 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 1 hour followed by filtrating and cooling. The extract was then lyophilized in the freeze-dryer system. Each extractive procedure was carried out in triplicate. These extracts were stored under nitrogen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until use.

### 2.4. Determination of phytochemicals in the extracts of C. gracillima Diels

Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent was used to measure the content of total phenols as described by Julkunen-Titto [11]; gallic acid was used as a standard and the results were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g of dried extract. The total flavonoid content was detected using 5% NaNO<sub>2</sub>, 10% AlCl<sub>3.</sub> and 1M NaOH solutions according to the method of Zhishen et al [12]; (+)-catechin was used as a standard and the results were expressed as mg catechin equivalent (CE)/g of dried extract. The content of condensed tannin was measured with 4% vanillin (prepared in MeOH) and HCl as described by Liu et al [13]; (+)-catechin was used as a standard and the results were expressed as mg CE/g of dried extract. The conditions in the report of Chen et al [14] were used to analyze the compositions of phenolic acid and flavonoids in the C. gracillima Diels extracts as follows: stationary phase, Inspire C18 column (250  $\times$  4.6 mm, 5  $\mu$ m; Dikma Technologies Inc., Lake Forest, CA, USA); mobile phase, ACN (Solvent A) and H<sub>2</sub>O with 2% CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (Solvent B) (2-4% A from 0 to 25 minutes and kept at 4% A from 25 to 40 minutes: 4-10% A from 40 to 50 minutes; 10-15% A from 50 to 60 minutes; 15-18% A from 60 to 110 minutes; 18–20% A from 110 to 115 minutes; 20–22% A from 115 to 135 minutes; and 22-25% A from 135 to 150 minutes); flow rate, 0.8 mL/min. An HPLC system with a Shimadzu LC-10AT HPLC pump system, a Shimadzu SCL-10A system controller module (Kyoto, Japan), and an S-3210 photodiode-array detector (Schambeck SFD GmbH, Bad Honnef, Germany) were used in this work. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometers (ESI-MS) data were recorded on a Thermo Finnigan LCQ classic quadrupole ion trap HPLC-Mass (MS) system (Gentech, Arcade, NY, USA) under the following conditions: nebulizer pressure, 70 psi; capillary temperature, 200°C; dry gas flow, 11 L/min; electrospray voltage of the ion source, 3000 V; capillary exit, -159 V; and skimmer, 20 V. Identification of compounds was carried out by comparing their retention times, UV-Vis, and mass spectral data to those of the authentic reference standards.

#### 2.5. Antioxidant assays in vitro

Each extract was dissolved in MeOH, and then different concentrations of extract solutions were prepared by serial dilution.

DPPH is a powerful reagent to evaluate the scavenging capacity of extracts for free radicals [11]. The scavenging effect on DPPH radicals was evaluated as described by Epsin et al [15]. Each solution ( $200 \ \mu$ L) was mixed with 50  $\mu$ L of 1mM DPPH (prepared in MeOH). After a 30-minute reaction, the absorbance (Abs) was measured at 517 nm (Multiskan Spectrum;

Thermo Co., Vantaa, Finland). The percentage of DPPH radical scavenged was calculated by the following equation: scavenging effect (%) =  $[1 - (A_{de} - A_e)/A_d] \times 100$  (A<sub>d</sub>: Abs of sample without extract; A<sub>de</sub>: Abs of sample with extract; A<sub>e</sub>: Abs of sample with extract; A<sub>e</sub>: Abs of extract only). A (+)-catechin standard was used as a positive control for comparison.

The Fe<sup>3+</sup> ion is a reducing agent used to estimate a molecule's reducing power [16]. The ability to reduce Fe<sup>3+</sup> was measured using the method of Oyaizu [17]. Each solution (250  $\mu$ L) was mixed with 250  $\mu$ L of sodium phosphate buffer (0.2M, pH 6.6) and 250  $\mu$ L of 1% K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> followed by incubating for 20 minutes at 50°C. After adding 10% TCA (250  $\mu$ L), the mixture was centrifuged at 3750g for 10 minutes (Hermle Z300K centrifuge; Hermle Labortechnik GmbH, Wehingen Württ, Germany). Then, the supernatant (100  $\mu$ L) was mixed with 100  $\mu$ L of dd H<sub>2</sub>O and 20  $\mu$ L of a 1% ferric chloride solution. The Abs was measured at 700 nm after 10 minutes. L-Ascorbic acid and (+)-catechin were used as positive controls for comparison.

The Fe<sup>2+</sup> ion can induce many radical reactions; hence, Fe<sup>2+</sup> chelation is considered a useful therapeutic approach [18]. The ability to chelate Fe<sup>2+</sup> was surveyed with ferrozine reagent per the method of Dastmalchia et al [19]. Each solution (200  $\mu$ L) was mixed with 100  $\mu$ L of FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (2.0mM) and 900  $\mu$ L of MeOH. After 5 minutes, the reaction was carried out by the addition of 400  $\mu$ L of ferrozine (5.0mM). After 10 minutes, the Abs at 562 nm was recorded. The chelating activity (%) was calculated by the equation: chelating activity (%) = [1 - (A<sub>ef</sub> - A<sub>e</sub>) / A<sub>f</sub>] × 100 (A<sub>ef</sub>: Abs of sample with extract; A<sub>f</sub>: Abs of sample without extract; A<sub>e</sub>: Abs of extract only). EDTA was used as a positive control for comparison.

TEAC is an effective method to assay antioxidant capacity [20]. The assay was performed as described by Scalzo et al [21]. The ABTS<sup>+</sup> solution (OD<sub>734</sub> = 0.70  $\pm$  0.03) was prepared by mixing ABTS, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and peroxidase, to final concentrations of 100 $\mu$ M, 50 $\mu$ M, and 4.4 units/mL, respectively. Each solution (30  $\mu$ L) was mixed with 270  $\mu$ L of the ABTS<sup>+</sup> solution and incubated for 1 minute. The Abs was read at 734 nm. The ABTS<sup>+</sup> scavenging percentage was counted relative to Trolox, and the TEAC value was expressed as mmole Trolox equivalent (TE)/g extract. L-Ascorbic acid and (+)-catechin were used as positive controls for comparison.

# 2.6. Antioxidant assays with human LDL, erythrocytes, and blood models

The inhibitory effect on Cu<sup>2+</sup>-induced human LDL oxidation was estimated as described by Hsieh et al [22]. LDL was separated from the plasma of a fasting healthy volunteer. The cholesterol level of the isolated LDL was diluted to 50 µg/mL with 5mM phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The LDL (100 µL) was added to 130 µL of 5mM PBS, and 10 µL of each extract solution was incubated with 10 µL of 125µM CuSO<sub>4</sub> (in 5mM PBS) at 37°C. The level of conjugated dienes was measured at 232 nm at 5-minute intervals to obtain the kinetics of LDL oxidation during initiation, propagation, and termination. The lag time of LDL oxidation was recorded. The increase in lag time was calculated by the equation: LDL oxidation (%) = [(T<sub>e</sub> - T) / T] × 100 (T<sub>e</sub>: lag time of sample with extract; T: lag time of sample without extract). (+)-Catechin was used as a positive control for comparison.

The inhibitory effect on peroxyl radical-induced human erythrocyte hemolysis was evaluated as by Barreira et al [23]. Erythrocytes were separated from the blood of a fasting healthy volunteer by centrifugation at 1500g and 4°C for 10 minutes. The pellet was washed three times with 10 mL of 10mM PBS. The 20% erythrocyte suspension (in PBS, 0.1 mL) was mixed with 0.2 mL of 200mM 2,2'-Azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) solution (in PBS) and 0.1 mL of each extract solution, followed by incubation at 37°C for 3 hours. After dilution with 0.5 mL of PBS, the mixture was centrifuged at 3000g and 25°C for 10 minutes. The Abs of the supernatant of the mixture was recorded at 540 nm. Hemolysis inhibition (%) was calculation by the equation: hemolysis inhibition (%) =  $[1 - (A_{ae} - A_e)/A_a] \times 100$  (A<sub>a</sub>: Abs of sample without extract; A<sub>ae</sub>: Abs of sample with extract; A<sub>e</sub>: Abs of extract only). (+)-Catechin was used as a positive control for comparison.

The scavenging of oxygen radicals in human blood was performed as by Lu et al [24]. A chemiluminescence analyzing system (Tohoku electronic industrial Co., Ltd., Miyagi, Japan) was used to measure oxygen radicals. The system is composed of a photon detector, a chemiluminescence counter, and a water circulator. Each extract (0.1 mL, dissolved in glycerol/saline = 1/9, v/v) was added to 0.2 mL of heparinized human blood from a fasting man with rheumatoid arthritis and placed in a special chamber unit (Model No. TLUincluding a stainless steel cell with magnetic stirrer bar in an absolutely dark chamber at 37°C. After 190 seconds, 1.0 mL of 0.01mM luminol (in normal saline) was added to the cell, and photon emission from whole blood was counted at 10second intervals from 0 to 600 seconds. The scavenging of oxygen radicals (%) was calculated through the equation: scavenging effect (%) =  $[1 - CL_e / CL] \times 100$  (CL: chemiluminescence value of sample without extract; CLe: chemiluminescence value of sample with extract). (+)-Catechin was used as a positive control for comparison.

### 2.7. Statistical analysis

Phytochemical contents and antioxidant attributes were assayed in triplicate and the mean values were calculated. The data were subjected to analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range tests were used to calculate differences between means. A significant difference was concluded at a level of p < 0.05.

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Antioxidant components in C. gracillima Diels extracts

Table 1 shows the yields from the two extraction processes. The yields of the EtOH and hot water extracts from dried *C. gracillima* Diels were 24.81% and 15.17%, respectively. The quantities of the antioxidant components, including total polyphenol (118.67 mg GAE/g) content, total flavonoid (60.83 mg CE/g), and condensed tannins (14.55 mg CE/g), in the EtOH extract were all higher than those in the hot water extract (105.07 mg GAE/g total polyphenols, 51.53 mg CE/g total flavonoids, and 10.50 mg CE/g condensed tannins; Table 1).

196

Table 1 — Extraction yields and contents of total phenols, total flavonoids, and condensed tannins in Cyclea gracillima Diels extracts.									
Sample	Extraction yield (%) <sup>a</sup>	Content							
		Total polyphenols (mg GAE/g extract)	Total flavonoids (mg CE/g extract)	Condensed tannins (mg CE/g extract)					
Ethanol extract	24.81 ± 1.23 a	118.67 ± 5.15 a	60.83 ± 3.29 a	14.55 ± 1.01 a					
Water extract	15.17 ± 1.04 b	105.07 ± 4.88 b	$51.53 \pm 2.11 \text{ b}$	$10.50 \pm 0.89 \text{ b}$					
Values (mean + SD, $n = 3$ ) in the same column followed by a different letter are significantly different ( $n < 0.05$ )									

Values (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3) in the same column followed by a different letter are significantly different (p < 0.05)

 $\label{eq:CE} CE = \mbox{catechin equivalent; } GAE = \mbox{gallic acid equivalent; } SD = \mbox{standard deviation.}$ 

 $^{\rm a}\,$  Extraction yield (%) = (sample extract weight / sample weight)  $\times$  100%.

Through HPLC analysis, we found 6 phenolic acids (gallic acid, *p*-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, sinapic acid, syringic acid, and p-anisic acid), and 12 flavonoids (catechin, epicatechin, rutin, naringin, myricetin, hesperidin, diosmin, narigenin, luteolin, hesperetin, kamempferol, and apigenin) in these extracts (Table 2). Except for gallic acid, the EtOH extract had higher levels for each phenolic acid and flavonoid than the hot water extract. Therefore, the EtOH extract had higher total quantities of phenolic acids and flavonoids (118.67 mg/g) than the hot water extract (88.40 mg/g). The content of total phenolic acid (50.78 mg/g) in the EtOH extract was lower than the content of total flavonoid (54.36 mg/g); however, the reverse was true of the hot water extract (46.08 mg/g phenolic acid and 42.32 mg/g flavonoid). Ferulic acid (11.12 mg/g), sinapic acid (18.62 mg/g), and syringic acid (11.84 mg/g) were the major phenolic acids in the EtOH extract; similar results were found in the hot water extract (10.23 mg/g ferulic acid, 16.24 mg/g sinapic acid, and 8.24 mg/g syringic acid). Moreover, the major flavonoids in the EtOH and hot water extracts were narigenin (8.61 mg/g and 5.45 mg/g, respectively),

myricetin (7.51 mg/g and 5.85 mg/g, respectively), naringin (6.89 mg/g and 6.18 mg/g, respectively), and apigenin (6.87 mg/g and 6.27 mg/g, respectively).

# 3.2. Antioxidant capacities of C. gracillima Diels extracts

C. gracillima Diels extracts displayed high capacity for quenching DPPH radicals (Figure 1A). These extracts were also capable of reducing Fe<sup>3+</sup> (Figure 1B) and chelating Fe<sup>2+</sup> (Figure 1C). For the three assays, the EtOH extract had significantly better antioxidant effects than the hot water extract (p < 0.05); the higher concentrations of the extracts, the greater the antioxidant effect (Figures 1A, 1B, and 1C). The EC<sub>50</sub> values of DPPH radical scavenging activity, Fe<sup>3+</sup> reducing power, and Fe<sup>2+</sup> chelating power were, respectively, 0.14 mg/ mL, 0.21 mg/mL, and 1.18 mg/mL for the EtOH extract, and 0.17 mg/mL, 0.29 mg/mL, and 1.67 mg/mL for the hot water extract (Table 3). For the TEAC assay, the antioxidant level of the EtOH extract (0.63 mmol TE/g) was also significantly

Table 2 - Composition of flavonoids and phenolic acids of Cyclea gracillima Diels extracts.								
Compound	ıpound MS signal		Content (mg/g extract)					
		Ethanol extract	Water extract					
Gallic acid	[M–H] <sup>–</sup> m/z 169; fragment m/z 125	$0.34 \pm 0.01 \text{ b}$	4.25 ± 0.17 a					
Catechin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 291; fragments m/z 123,139	$2.16 \pm 0.08$ a	$1.37 \pm 0.04 \text{ b}$					
Epicatechin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 291; fragments m/z 139, 165	$0.99 \pm 0.03$ a	$0.91 \pm 0.02 \text{ b}$					
p-Coumaric acid	[M–H] <sup>–</sup> m/z 163; fragments m/z 128, 119	$2.42 \pm 0.10$ a	$2.28 \pm 0.09 \text{ b}$					
Ferulic acid	[M–H] <sup>–</sup> m/z 193; fragment m/z 134	11.62 ± 0.45 a	10.23 ± 0.87 b					
Sinapic acid	[M–H] <sup>–</sup> m/z 223; fragments m/z 208, 164, 149	18.62 ± 0.92 a	16.24 ± 0.87 b					
Syringic acid	[M–H] <sup>–</sup> m/z 197; fragments m/z 153, 138	$11.84 \pm 0.65$ a	$8.24 \pm 0.14$ b					
Rutin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 611; fragments m/z 465, 303	4.84 ± 0.09 a	$4.13 \pm 0.13$ b					
p-Anisic acid	[M–H] <sup>–</sup> m/z 151; fragments m/z 135, 107	5.94 ± 0.10 a	$4.84 \pm 0.06 \text{ b}$					
Naringin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 581; fragments m/z 419, 273, 153	8.61±0.45 a	$5.45 \pm 0.14$ b					
Myricetin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 319; fragments m/z 153	7.51 ± 0.41 a	5.85 ± 0.28 b					
Hesperidin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 611; fragments m/z 449, 303, 153	$0.53 \pm 0.02$ a	$0.40 \pm 0.02 \text{ b}$					
Diosmin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 609; fragments m/z 563, 299, 284	4.99 ± 0.13 a	$4.21 \pm 0.15$ b					
Narigenin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 273; fragments m/z 147, 153	6.89 ± 0.38 a	6.18 ± 0.16 b					
Luteolin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 287; fragments m/z 241, 153, 135	4.56±0.13 a	$3.88 \pm 0.16$ b					
Hesperetin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 303; fragments m/z 177, 153	5.62 ± 0.21 a	$3.02 \pm 0.10$ b					
Kamempferol	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 287; fragments m/z 153, 121	0.79 ± 0.03 a	$0.65 \pm 0.02 \text{ b}$					
Apigenin	[M+H] <sup>+</sup> m/z 271; fragments m/z 153, 119	6.87 ± 0.24 a	6.27 ± 0.25 b					
Flavonoids		54.36 ± 2.20 a	42.32 ± 1.47 b					
Phenolic acids		50.78 ± 2.23 a	46.08 ± 2.20 b					
Total		$105.14 \pm 4.43$ a	88.40 ± 3.67 b					

Values (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3) in the same row followed by a different letter are significantly different (p < 0.05). MS = Mass; SD = standard deviation.



JOURNAL OF FOOD AND DRUG ANALYSIS 26 (2018) 193-200

Figure 1 — Antioxidant effects of Cyclea gracillima Diels extracts: (A) DPPH radical scavenging activity, (B) Fe<sup>3+</sup> reducing power, (C) Fe<sup>2+</sup> chelating power, (D) inhibition of human LDL oxidation, (E) inhibition of human erythrocyte hemolysis, and (F) scavenging oxygen radicals in human blood. DPPH = 2,2-diphenyl-1-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl)-hydrazyl; LDL = low density lipoprotein.

higher than that of the hot water extract (0.51 mmol TE/g, p < 0.05; Table 3).

The EtOH extract showed greater inhibition of  $Cu^{2+}$ induced human LDL oxidation than the hot water extract (Figures 1D and 2A); the EC<sub>50</sub> values, indicating the increase in 50% lag time of LDL oxidation, were 38.60 µg/mL and 64.47 µg/ mL for the EtOH and the hot water extracts, respectively (Table 3). The lag time of the LDL oxidation was prolonged with increasing extract concentration (Figure 1D). LDL oxidation induced by  $Cu^{2+}$  ion in the absence of extract took 87.2 minutes. With the addition of 50 µg/mL of the extracts, lag times were increased to 117.3 minutes (hot water extract) and 145.4 minutes (EtOH extract) (Figure 2A), an increase of 34.5% and 66.7%, respectively (Figure 1D).

C. gracillima Diels extract suppressed peroxyl radicalinduced human erythrocyte hemolysis in a concentrationdependent manner. As we saw with other assays, the EtOH extract had a greater effect than the hot water extract (Figure 1E); the  $EC_{50}$  values were 0.12 mg/mL and 0.15 mg/mL for the EtOH and hot water extracts, respectively (Table 3).

With regard to the scavenging of oxygen radicals in human blood, the EtOH extract still exhibited a stronger effect than the hot water extract (Figures 1F and 2B); their  $EC_{50}$  values were 0.24 mg/mL (EtOH extract) and 0.47 mg/mL (hot water extract) (Table 3). As seen previously, higher concentrations of the extracts scavenged higher levels of the oxygen radical (Figure 1F). The chemiluminescence level for the original blood (without extracts) was 22626 counts/10 s. Blood treated with 0.25 mg/mL of the extracts showed decreased levels (hot water extract, 13485 counts/10 s; EtOH extract 11267 counts/10 s); the extracts increased scavenging by 40.4% and 50.2%, respectively (Figure 2B).

Table 3 — EC <sub>50</sub> values of Cyclea gracillima Diels extracts in antioxidant assays.											
Sample	EC <sub>50</sub>										
	LDL oxidation inhibition <sup>a</sup> (µg/mL)	Erythrocyte hemolysis inhibition <sup>b</sup> (mg/mL)	Scavenging oxygen radicals <sup>b</sup> (mg/mL)	Scavenging DPPH radicals <sup>b</sup> (mg/mL)	Reducing power <sup>c</sup> (mg/mL)	Chelating power <sup>b</sup> (mg/mL)	(mmol TE/g)				
Ethanol extract	38.60 ± 1.58 b	$0.12 \pm 0.01 \text{ b}$	$0.24 \pm 0.02$ b	$0.14 \pm 0.01 \text{ b}$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$ b	1.18±0.06 b	0.63 ± 0.01 b				
Water extract	64.47 ± 5.76 a	$0.15 \pm 0.01$ a	0.47 ± 0.03 a	$0.17 \pm 0.01$ a	$0.29 \pm 0.02$ a	$1.67 \pm 0.02 \text{ c}$	$0.51 \pm 0.02 \ c$				
(+)-Catechin	0.77 ± 0.01 c	$0.05 \pm 0.01 \text{ c}$	$0.12 \pm 0.01 \text{ c}$	$0.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ c}$	$0.06\pm0.00~c$	_	$1.24 \pm 0.01$ a				
EDTA	—	—	-	—	_	$0.01 \pm 0.01$ a	_				
Ascorbic acid	—	_	—	_	$0.02 \pm 0.00 \text{ d}$	—	—				

Values (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3) in the same column followed by a different letter are significantly different (p < 0.05).

DPPH = 2,2-diphenyl-1-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl)-hydrazyl; LDL = low density lipoprotein; SD = standard deviation; TE = Trolox equivalent; TEAC = Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity.

<sup>a</sup> The effective concentration increasing 50% lag time of oxidation.

<sup>b</sup> The effective concentration providing 50% antioxidant effect.

 $^{\rm c}~$  The concentration when  $A_{700}=0.5.$ 

更多期刊、圖書與影音講座,請至【元照網路書店】www.angle.com.t

198

JOURNAL OF FOOD AND DRUG ANALYSIS 26 (2018) 193–200



Figure 2 – Antioxidant activity assays for Cyclea gracillima Diels extracts: (A) inhibition of  $Cu^{2+}$ -induced oxidation of human LDL (50 µg/mL); (B) scavenging oxygen radicals in human blood (0.25 mg/mL). LDL = low density lipoprotein.

### 4. Discussion

Free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated in the human body through varied endogenous systems, exposure to various physiochemical conditions, and pathological situations. For perfect physiological function, an oxidant-antioxidant balance is regulated by endogenous antioxidant defense mechanisms [25]. If this protection does not adequately remove dangerous radicals, oxidative stress ensues [26]. Therefore, substantial oxidative damage to proteins, DNA, and lipids is induced, and many human diseases (e.g., atherosclerosis, cardiovascular disease, and cancer) can be triggered as well [27]. An external source of antioxidants can be applied to assist in resisting this oxidative stress. Many such natural antioxidants occur in plant sources, such as polyphenols, flavonoids, and condensed tannins [28].

Many Menispermaceae plants have good antioxidant activities. Zulkefli et al [29] indicated that the MeOH extract of Tinospora crispa (Patawali) showed high antioxidant activity for DPPH radical scavenging, reducing power, and metal chelating because of the presence of high levels of polyphenol; apigenin and magnoflorine were the major antioxidant compounds. Praveen et al [30] reported that *Tinospora cordifolia* (Guduchi) had abundant phenolic contents and presented with prominent scavenging effects on DPPH radicals, reducing power, and metal chelating capacity. Meena and Santhy [7] demonstrated that administration of a MeOH extract of *Cyclea peltata* could increase the activities of catalase, superoxide dismutase, and glutathione peroxidase, and decrease the level of malondialdehyde in liver and kidney tissues of Dalton's Ascites Lymphoma (DAL) mice. Chellappan et al [5] also found that the hydroalcoholic extract of *C. peltata* had a good scavenging effect on ABTS free radicals.

In this work, we first examined the ability of hot water and EtOH extracts of *C. gracillima* Diels in DPPH radical scavenging, TEAC, reducing power, and  $Fe^{2+}$  ion chelation assays to determine their antioxidant activities. Water and EtOH extractions are allowed to be used for functional food exploitation in Taiwan. Both of the extracts had high activity in these assays, which is likely caused by their rich amounts of phenolic compounds. The EtOH extract had a greater effect than the hot water extract owing to its higher level of polyphenols. Ferulic acid, sinapic acid, and syringic acid were the major phenolic acids, and narigenin, myricetin, naringin, and apigenin were the major flavonoids in these extracts.

ROS include superoxide anion  $(O_2^{-})$ , hydroxyl radical (•OH), hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ), and singlet oxygen ( $^1O_2$ ), among others. High concentrations of ROS are extremely harmful to organisms and can be detected in almost all cancer tissues. Effective reduction of overproduced ROS during various environmental stresses by antioxidants is necessary for health [31]. Free radicals and ROS can induce LDL oxidation, which is considered a significant biomarker for atherosclerosis. The oxidized LDL can unceasingly damage blood vessels and lead to formation of foam cells and plaques, the symptoms of atherosclerosis. Therefore, inhibition of LDL oxidation is regarded as the most important therapeutic approach to block atherosclerosis development [32]. Free radical induced lipid peroxidation in biological membranes is related to many pathological events, as well as aging. Erythrocyte membranes with rich polyunsaturated fatty acids are very susceptible to free radical-mediated lipid peroxidation, which ultimately results in hemolysis. Hence, the model for free radicalinduced erythrocyte hemolysis is suitable for investigating oxidative damage of biomembranes [33].

Plant-derived antioxidants have remarkable protective effects against LDL oxidation and oxidative damage. Investigation into and evaluation of the antioxidant attributes of these natural products mostly focus on phenolic compounds [34]. Ness and Powles [35] illustrated that phenolic compounds can efficiently quench free radicals and ROS owing to their conjugated ring structures and hydroxyl groups. The consumption of polyphenol-rich foods such as fruits, vegetables, and herbs can diminish oxidative damage [36]. Saputri and Jantan [32] reported that the MeOH extract of *Tinospora crispa* L. (*Menispermaceae*) could inhibit human LDL oxidation, an activity ascribed to its phenolic compounds. Amresh et al [37] demonstrated that a 50% EtOH extract of *Cissampelos pareira* (L.) Hirsuta (*Menispermaceae*) containing a large amount of polyphenols exhibited significant ROS scavenging effects.

We first explored the hot water and EtOH extracts of *C. gracillima* Diels, which revealed notable effects on the inhibition of human LDL oxidation and human erythrocyte hemolysis, as well as scavenging oxygen radicals in human blood. In addition, the EtOH extract that contained a higher phenolic content presented greater effects than the hot water extract in all assays.

### 5. Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to determine the composition and flavonoid and phenolic acid content in the hot water and EtOH extracts of *C. gracillima* Diels, as well as their antioxidant effects. These extracts of *C. gracillima* Diels, especially the EtOH extract, contained high levels of polyphenols and exhibited prominent antioxidant effects, tested by scavenging DPPH radicals, TEAC, reducing power,  $Fe^{2+}$  ion chelation, inhibition of human LDL oxidation, inhibition of human erythrocyte hemolysis, and scavenging of oxygen radicals in human blood. Ferulic acid, sinapic acid, and syringic acid were the major phenolic acids, and narigenin, myricetin, naringin, and apigenin were the major flavonoids in these extracts. These results illustrate that *C. gracillima* Diels has a potential benefit for health promotion.

### **Conflicts of interest**

All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (project no. MOST 105-2320-B-039-062).

#### REFERENCES

- Huang SF, Huang TC. Menispermaceae. Flora of Taiwan 1996;2:594.
- [2] Chhabra SC, Mahunnah RLA, Mshiu EN. Plants used in traditional medicine in eastern Tanzania. III. Angiosperms (Euphorbiaceae to Menispermaceae). J Ethnopharmacol 1990;28:255–83.
- [3] Vijaya Kirubha TS, Senthamarai R, Mariya P, Mani P. Pharmacognostical and phytochemical standards of Cyclea peltata. (Lam) Hook.f & Thomson leaves. J Chem Pharmaceut Res 2012;4:1465–9.
- [4] Shine VJ, Latha PG, Shyamal S, Suja SR, Anuja GI, Sini S, Pradeep S, Rajasekharan S. Gastric antisecretory and antiulcer activities of Cyclea peltata (Lam.) Hook. f. & Thoms. in rats. J Ethnopharmacol 2009;125:350–5.
- [5] Chellappan DR, Joseph J, Balasubramanian P. Pharmacognostical, antioxidant and antiulcer screening of Cyclea peltata roots. Rev Bras Farmacogn/ Braz J Pharmacogn 2011;21:1096–103.
- [6] Meena J, Santhy KS. Efficacy of methanolic extract of Cyclea peltata as a potent anticancer equivalent. Eur J Environment Ecol 2015;2:65–71.
- [7] Meena J, Santhy KS. Evaluation of the antioxidant potential of methanol extract of Cyclea peltata in DAL Model. Pharm Innovat J 2015;4:71–5.
- [8] Sangeetha MS, Priyanga S, Hemmalakshmi S, Devaki K. In vivo antidiabetic potential of Cyclea Peltata in streptozotocin-induced-diabetic rats. Asian J Pharmaceut Clinic Res 2015;8:103–8.
- [9] Hullatti KK, Gopikrishna UV, Kuppast JJ. Phytochemical investigation and diuretic activity of Cyclea peltata leaf extracts. J Adv Pharmaceut Technol Res 2011;2:241–4.
- [10] Shine VJ, Latha PG, Suja SR, Anuja GI, Raj G, Rajasekharan S. Ameliorative effect of alkaloid extract of Cyclea peltata (Poir.) Hook. F. & Thoms. roots (ACP) on APAP/CCl<sub>4</sub> induced liver toxicity in Wistar rats and in vitro free radical scavenging property. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2014;4:143–51.
- [11] Julkunen-Titto R. Phenolic constituents in the leaves of northern willows: methods for the analysis of certain phenolics. J Agric Food Chem 1985;33:213–7.

### 更多期刊、圖書與影音講座,請至【元照網路書店】www.angle.com.t

200

- [12] Zhishen J, Mengcheng T, Jianming W. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. Food Chem 1999;64:555–9.
- [13] Liu SC, Lin JT, Wang CK, Chen HY, Yang DJ. Antioxidant properties of various solvent extracts from lychee (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) flowers. Food Chem 2009;114:577–81.
- [14] Chen YC, Lin JT, Liu SC, Lu PS, Yang DJ. Composition of flavonoids and phenolic acids in lychee (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) flower extracts and their antioxidant capacities estimated with human LDL, erythrocyte and blood models. J Food Sci 2011;76:C724–8.
- [15] Epsin JC, Soler-Rivas C, Wichers HJ. Characterization of the total free radical scavenger capacity of vegetable oils and oil fractions using 2, 2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical. J Agric Food Chem 2000;48:648–56.
- [16] Gülçin İ. Fe<sup>3+</sup>-Fe<sup>2+</sup> transformation method: an important antioxidant assay. Methods Mol Biol 2015;1208:233–46.
- [17] Oyaizu M. Antioxidant activity of browning products of glucosamine fractionated by organic solvent and thin-layer chromatography. Nippon Shokulin Kogyo Gakkaishi 1986;35:771–5.
- [18] Adjimani JP, Asare P. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity of iron chelators. Toxicol Rep 2015;2:721–8.
- [19] Dastmalchi K, Damien Dorman HJ, Oinonen PP, Darwis Y, Laaso I, Hiltunen R. Chemical composition and in vitro antioxidative activity of a lemon balm (Melissa officinalis L.) extract. LWT 2008;41:391–400.
- [20] Huang D, Ou B, Prior RL. The chemistry behind antioxidant capacity assays. J Agric Food Chem 2005;53:1841–56.
- [21] Scalzo J, Politi A, Pellegrini N, Mezzetti B, Battino M. Plant genotype affects total antioxidant capacity and phenolic contents in fruit. Nutrition 2005;21:207–13.
- [22] Hsieh MC, Shen YJ, Kuo YH, Hwang LS. Antioxidative activity and active components of longan (*Dimocarpus longan Lour.*) flower extracts. J Agric Food Chem 2008;56:7101–6.
- [23] Barreira JCM, Ferreira ICFR, Oliveira MBPP, Pereira JA. Antioxidant activities of the extracts from chestnut flower, leaf, skins and fruit. Food Chem 2008;107:1106–13.
- [24] Lu FJ, Lin JT, Wang HP, Huang WC. A simple, sensitive, nonstimulated proton counting system for detection of superoxide anion in whole blood. Experientia 1996;52:141–4.

- [25] Jacob RA, Burri BJ. Oxidative damage and defense. Am J Clinic Nutr 1996;63:985S–90S.
- [26] Lobo V, Patil A, Phatak A, Chandra N. Free radicals, antioxidants and functional foods: Impact on human health. Pharmacogn Rev 2010;4:118–26.
- [27] Willcox JK, Ash SL, Catignani GL. Antioxidants and prevention of chronic disease. Critic Rev Food Sci Nutr 2004;44:275–95.
- [28] Shahidi F, Naczk M. Phenolics in food and nutraceuticals. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2004. p. 403. 421–6.
- [29] Zulkefli HN, Mohamad J, Abidin NZ. Antioxidant activity of methanol extract of Tinospora crispa and Tabernaemontana corymbosa. Sains Malaysiana 2013;42:697–706.
- [30] Praveen N, Thiruvengadam M, Kim HJ. Praveen Kumar JK, Chung IM. Antioxidant activity of *Tinospora cordifolia* leaf extracts through non-enzymatic method. J Med Plant Res 2012;6:4790–5.
- [31] Sharma P, Jha AB, Dubey RS, Pessarakli M. Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. J Botany 2012. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/217037.
- [32] Saputri FC, Jantan I. Effects of selected medicinal plants on human low-density lipoprotein oxidation, 2, 2-diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals and human platelet aggregation. J Med Plant Res 2011;5:6182–91.
- [33] Begum AN, Terao J. Protective effect of α-tocotrienol against free radical-induced impairment of erythrocyte deformability. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2002;66:398–403.
- [34] Manach C, Morand C, Crespy V, Demigne C, Texier O, Regerat F, Remesy C. Quercetin is recovered in human plasma as conjugated derivatives which retain antioxidant properties. FEBS Lett 1998;426:331–6.
- [35] Ness AR, Powles JW. Fruit and vegetables and cardiovascular disease: a review. Int J Epidemiol 1997;26:1–13.
- [36] Anderson KJ, Teuber SS, Gobeille A, Cremin P, Waterhouse AL, Steinberg FM. Walnut polyphenolics inhibit in vitro human plasma and LDL oxidation. J Nutr 2001;131:2837–42.
- [37] Amresh G, Rao CV, Singh PN. Antioxidant activity of Cissampelos pareira on benzo(a)pyrene-induced mucosal injury in mice. Nutr Res 2007;27:625–32.