198 Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, Vol. 20, Suppl. 1, 2012, Pages 198-202

Study on Breeding for Crop Fine Varieties Rich in Food Factors and Their Functions

JIN-GUI ZHENG*, JIN-KE LIN, ZU-XIN CHENG, MING XU, ZHI-WEI HUANG, ZHI-JIAN YANG, TUAN-SHENG CHEN, BAO-DONG ZHENG, YA-FENG ZHENG, KE HUANG, SHAO-QUAN ZHENG, XUAN-YANG CHEN, JIN-YING WANG, XIN-FU YE, DI-SUN YUAN, KAI-BIN ZHENG, SU-FENG LIAO, JUN-CHENG LIN, LING-HUA CHEN, XUE-FENG TANG AND TAO ZHANG

The Agricultural Product Quality Institute of Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University (FAFU), Fujian, China

ABSTRACT

Thirty-one crop fine varieties rich in twenty-eight factors were bred, respectively. The contents of the factors were from 1.47 to 16.57 times of the corresponding commercial varieties. Animal experiments were conducted on the seven fine varieties. The effects of the factors from the fine varieties including the high anthocyanin black rice, high polysaccharides tea, high polysaccharides Agaricus blazei, high polysaccharides Grifola frondosa, and high DHEA yam, were significantly better than those from the commercial varieties at equal weight. The effects of proanthocyanidin from the two fine grape varieties were significantly better than those from the commercial varieties at equal PC dose.

Key words: factor, crop, variety

INTRODUCTION

The raw materials for the development and manufacture of health foods are generally from the agricultural product market. The varieties with high yield are frequently preferred for profit maximization. However, there are many varieties for a crop and the factors are different in content. It is highly necessary to breed or screen fine varieties rich in factors from the crop germplasms, which may play an important role in improving the effect of functional foods. We have focused on the genetic breeding of crop quality for many years, bred thirty-one fine varieties with twenty-eight factors (Table 1). The effects of factors of the seven fine varieties were studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The crop varieties were from the National Crop Germplasms Bank of China, the International Rice Research Institute and the Agricultural Product Quality Institute of FAFU.

The factors (Table 2 - 5) were extracted from the equal weight of the fine varieties and the commercial varieties, respectively. Animal experiments of the factors were conducted as in references⁽¹⁻³⁾. The PC extracts obtained from the fine grape variety and the commercial variety were prepared to equal dose for animal

experiment^(3,4).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I. The Breeding and Screening of the Crop Fine Varieties Rich in Factors (Table 1)

The contents of factors from fine varieties were greater than those of controls. In particular, we screened the rice variety and corn variety containing melatonin, whereas there was no melatonin in the commercial cultivars.

II. The Effects of Factors of Fine Varieties Compared with Commercial Varieties at Equal Weight (Table 2-5)

The effects of factors extracted from the fine varieties were higher than those extracted from the commercial varieties at equal weight. For instance, the blood glucose concentration of hyperglycemic mice tested with fine tea variety was 57.51% lower than with the commercial variety (Table 3).

III. The Effect of Grape Proanthocyanidin on the MDA Content of the Fruit Fly at Equal Dose (Table 6)

The MDA contents of the fruit fly at equal dose of proanthocyanidin (0.20%) from the fine variety and commercial variety were determined. The MDA contents

^{*}Author for correspondence. Tel: +86-591-83789231;

Fax: +86-591-83789231; E-mail: jgzheng@fjau.edu.cn

Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, Vol. 20, Suppl. 1, 2012

Table 1. The crop fine varieties rich in factors

| No | Cron | Factor | Unit | Commercial | Fine | Comparison of | |
|----|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|---------------|----------------|
| NO | Crop | Factor | | content | Variety | Content | factor content |
| 1 | black rice (brown) | total flavones | mg/g | 1.12 | FZ1033 | 2.34 | 1 : 2.09 |
| 2 | black rice (brown) | anthocyanin | | 11.85 | 9A721 | 30.719 | 1 : 2.59 |
| 3 | rice(brown) | GABA | mg/g | 1.86 | I-332 | 5.91 | 1:3.18 |
| 4 | rice(brown) | coenzyme Q ₁₀ | mg/g | 0.5 | B236 | 2.70 | 1 : 5.40 |
| 5 | rice(bran) | oryzanol | % | 1.980 | JHZZ | 4.158 | 1:2.10 |
| (| (bran) | 1-: | | 190.92 | VK 2 | 523.13 | 1:2.74 |
| 6 | rice (milled) | calcium | mg/kg | 39.41 | XK-2 | 95.38 | 1:2.42 |
| 7 | rice(bran) | | | 41.73 | LHDHY | 83.46 | 1:2.00 |
| 8 | rice(milled) | iron | mg/kg | 3.18 | TJ1032 | 9.93 | 1:3.12 |
| 9 | rice(brown) | melatonin | ng/g | 0 | MK-22 | 264 | 0:264 |
| 10 | corn | melatonin | ng/g | 0 | JHN | 2034 | 0:2034 |
| 11 | broccoli | sulforaphane | µg/g | 104.15 | FU-1 | 984.47 | 1 : 9.45 |
| 12 | broad bean (flower) | L-dopa | % | 6.949 | C001 | 10.181 | 1 : 1.47 |
| 13 | soy bean | isoflavone | $\mu g/gFW$ | 9.97 | TN-2 | 51.96 | 1:5.21 |
| 14 | tea | EGCG | % | 7.90 | BD-4 | 16.80 | 1:2.13 |
| 15 | tea | polysaccharide | % | 1.92 | OTV08 | 5.62 | 1:2.93 |
| 16 | white tea | theanine | % | 0.95 | WT03 | 2.27 | 1:2.38 |
| 17 | sweet potato | beta-carotene | mg/g | 0.038 | YS-5 | 0.090 | 1:2.37 |
| 18 | sweet potato | DHEA | µg/100g | 295.84 | SP1 | 752.98 | 1 : 2.55 |
| 19 | yam | DHEA | mg/100g | 3.68 | WK-3 | 8.15 | 1 : 2.22 |
| 20 | grape(peel) | resveratrol | µg/g | 1.877 | GQ | 31.094 | 1:16.57 |
| 21 | grape(peel) | proanthocyanidin | % | 1.18 | HJF | 8.90 | 1 : 7.54 |
| 22 | grape(seed) | proanthocyanidin | % | 4.77 | HM | 21.27 | 1:4.46 |
| 23 | longan (pulp) | polysaccharide | mg/gFW | 1.64 | GHW | 5.787 | 1:3.53 |
| 24 | longan (seed) | polysaccharide | mg/gFW | 5.49 | PD4 | 51.269 | 1:9.34 |
| 25 | A. blazei (fermenta- tion) | polysaccharide | mg/mL | 0.61 | AB01 | 2.05 | 1:3.36 |
| 26 | G. frondosa (fer- mentation) | polysaccharide | mg/mL | 0.65 | GF06 | 1.65 | 1:2.54 |
| 27 | G. ganoderma | polysaccharide | % | 0.74 | YZ | 6.69 | 1:9.04 |
| 28 | lotus seed | total flavones | mg/kg | 167.0 | GCTKL | 664.00 | 1:3.98 |
| 29 | lotus seed | polysaccharide | mg/kg | 5.16 | JNHPL | 13.32 | 1:2.58 |
| 30 | vine tea | total flavones | mg/gGW | 129.0 | JH | 453.90 | 1:3.52 |
| 31 | G. pentaphylla | saponin | % | 5.0 | G8 | 9.65 | 1:1.93 |

200

Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, Vol. 20, Suppl. 1, 2012

| Table 2 T AOC and SOD | of the blood serum | and liver of mice treated | with fine black rice ve | riety with high anthocyanin |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Table 2. 1-AUC and SUD | of the blood seruin a | and fiver of finee ficated | with fine black fice ve | and y with high anthocyanin |

| | Anthocyanin | Anthocyanin | Serum | | | | Liver | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----|-----------------|----|---------------------|----|-------------------|----|
| Variety | content (colourity) | dose (mg/kg•d) | T-AOC (u/mL) | α | SOD (u/mL) | α | T-AOC (u/mgprot) | α | SOD (u/mgprot) | α |
| СК | _ | 0 | 6.742 ± 0.11 | сC | 117.03 ± 2.00 | сC | 1.416 ± 0.06 | bB | 90.73 ± 1.23 | сC |
| commercial RBQ | 4.41 | 50 | 7.473 ± 0.19 | bB | 146.58 ± 1.24 | bB | 1.407 ± 0.02 | bB | 109.14 ± 1.81 | bB |
| fine N84 | 19.08 | 200 | 9.395 ± 0.06 | aA | 231.40 ± 3.00 | aA | 2.035 ± 0.08 | aA | 126.09 ± 3.08 | aA |
| increase% | — | — | 25.72% | _ | 57.87% | — | 44.63% | _ | 15.53% | _ |

Note: The CK stands for control group and the α stands for level of significance. Lower case letter indicates p < 0.05 and upper case letter indicates p < 0.01.

Table 3. The blood glucose of hyperglycemic mice treated with fine tea variety with high polysaccharides content

| Variety | Tea polysaccharide content | Tea polysaccharide dose (mg/kg/d) | blood glucose prior to treatment (mmol/L) | blood glucose after treatment (mmol/L) | decrease rate of blood glucose | level of significance |
|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| normal CK | _ | 0 | 5.10 ± 1.00 | 5.21 ± 1.10 | _ | _ |
| hyperglycemic CK | _ | 0 | 16.94 ± 3.54 | 17.05 ± 3.85 | — | — |
| commercial OTV61 | 3.65% | 138.02 | 16.80 ± 3.00 | $12.83 \pm 3.62^{**}$ | 23.63% | aA |
| fine OTV08 | 5.62% | 161.74 | 16.74 ± 3.62 | $10.51 \pm 3.30^{**}$ | 37.22% | bB |
| decrease% | — | _ | _ | _ | 57.51% | _ |

Note: The CK stands for control group. The "*" indicates p < 0.05 in comparison with the hyperglycemic CK and the "**" indicates p < 0.01, in comparison with the hyperglycemic CK.

Table 4. Effects of polysaccharides from Agaricus blazei and Grifola frondosa on S180 tumor growth in vivo

| | Polysaccharides | Polysaccharides | Mice quantity | | Mice weight | Mean weight | Tumor | Level of |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Group | content (mg/mL) | dose (mg/kg•d) | prior to treatment | after treatment | gain (g) | of tumor (mg) | inhibition rate | significance |
| tumor CK | — | 0 | 8 | 7 | 7.6 ± 0.42 | 1.83 ± 0.25 | _ | — |
| cyclophosphamide | — | 20 | 8 | 8 | 4.3 ± 0.66 ** | 0.77 ± 0.11 ** | 57.92% | aA |
| commercial A. blazei AB03-P | 0.61 | 100 | 8 | 8 | 7.1 ± 0.49 | $1.44 \pm 0.23*$ | 21.31% | dD |
| fine A. blazei AB01-P | 2.05 | 200 | 8 | 8 | 7.4 ± 0.41 | $1.00 \pm 0.13 **$ | 45.36% | bB |
| percent of increase | | — | | — | — | | 112.86% | |
| commercial G. frondosa GF03-P | 0.22 | 50 | 8 | 8 | 7.0 ± 1.03 | 1.53 ± 0.35 | 16.39% | еE |
| fine G. frondosa GF06-P | 1.65 | 200 | 8 | 8 | 7.5 ± 0.80 | 1.06 ± 0.29** | 42.08% | cC |
| percent of increase | _ | — | — | _ | — | | 156.74% | |

Note: The CK stands for control group. The "*" indicates p < 0.05 and the "**" indicates p < 0.01, in comparison with the control.

Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, Vol. 20, Suppl. 1, 2012

| | DHEA content | DHEA concentra | Male fruit fly | | | Female fruit fly | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----|------------------------|-------------------------|----|--|
| Group | (mg/100g) | | SOD activity (U/mg) | increase rate of SOD | α | SOD activity (U/mg) | increase rate of SOD | α | |
| СК | _ | 0 | 3.83 ± 0.15 | — | _ | 3.36 ± 0.12 | — | _ | |
| commercial variety JXSS | 2.88 | 0.001% | 3.83 ± 0.05 | 0.00% | bB | 3.47 ± 0.07 | 3.17% | bB | |
| fine variety WK-3 | 8.15 | 0.003% | 4.21 ± 0.10 ** | 9.85% | aA | $3.72 \pm 0.04 **$ | 10.62% | aA | |
| percent of increase | | | | | | | 235.02% | _ | |

Table 5. The SOD activity of fruit fly treated with fine yam variety with high DHEA content

Note: The CK stands for the control. The α stands for level of significance. The "*" indicates p < 0.05 and the "**" indicates p < 0.01, in comparison with the control.

Table 6. The MDA content of fruit fly treated with fine grape variety with high proanthocyanidin (PC) content

| Crown | PC | concentration | MDA (n | | rate of MDA decrease | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----|---------|----|--|
| Group | content | in the media | female | male | femal | α | male | α | |
| СК | | 0 | 2.20 ± 0.05 | 2.25 ± 0.10 | | | | _ | |
| commercial BC (seed) | 7.64% | 0.20% | $1.93 \pm 0.14 **$ | $2.02 \pm 0.06 **$ | 12.35% | cC | 9.98% | сC | |
| fine WDLY (seed) | 22.28% | 0.20% | $1.76 \pm 0.04 **$ | $1.81 \pm 0.03 **$ | 20.01% | aA | 19.25% | aA | |
| rate of decrease | | | — | — | 62.02% | | 92.89% | — | |
| commercial HR (peel) | 0.82% | 0.20% | 2.06 ± 0.06 | 2.13 ± 0.09 | 6.40% | dD | 5.22% | dD | |
| fine HMG (peel) | 11.34% | 0.20% | 1.82 ± 0.10 ** | $1.89 \pm 0.05 **$ | 14.96% | bB | 15.73% | bB | |
| rate of decrease | | — | — | — | 133.75% | | 201.34% | — | |

Note: The CK stands for the control. The α stands for level of significance. The "*" indicates p < 0.05 and the "**" indicates p < 0.01, in comparison with the control.

were significantly lower for the seed and peel of fine grape variety than those of commercial variety. Our results showed that the antioxidation effect of the fine variety was greater than the commercial variety.

CONCLUSIONS

A crop showed great variance in the factors from one variety to another. The thirty-one varieties bred or screened in our study had content of factors ranging from 1.47 times to 16.57 times of the corresponding commercial varieties.

Fine varieties containing black rice anthocyanin, tea polysaccharides, A. blazei polysaccharides, G. frondosa polysaccharides, high yam DHEA, respectively, had significantly better effects compared to the corresponding commercial varieties at equal weight.

The effect of PC from the grape fine variety was significantly higher than that from the commercial variety at equal PC dose.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.30471073), the Special Prophase Project on National Basic Research of China (No.2005CCA01300), the Recommend International Advanced Agricultural Science and Technology Plan of China (No.2011-Z59), the Special Project of Science and Technology of Fujian Province (No.2008NZ0001-4).

REFERENCES

- Zhang, M. W., Zhang, R. F. and Guo, B. J. 2006. The hypolipidemic and antioxidative effects of black rice pericarp anthocyanin in rats. Acta Nutrimenta Sinica. 28: 404-408.
- 2. Chen, H. X. and Xie, B. J. The preventive and curative effects on diabetic mice of tea polysaccharides. 2002.

202

Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, Vol. 20, Suppl. 1, 2012

Acta Nutrimenta Sinica. 24: 85-86.

3. National Center for Health Inspection and Supervision, Health Ministry of China. Functional assessment procedure and test methods of health food. Beijing, China. Tsinghua Tongfang Electronic Publishing House. 2003.

 Li, S. G., Bai, L. H., Wang, L. Q., Chen, K., Yu, X. N. and Li, X. 2008. The effect of ginseng on Drosophila melanogaster life span and its antioxidation activity. J. Hygiene Res. 37: 104-106.